G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 380-15

1915.

(Abeyrai)

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later references.

Def. no 263-30
Judg 105-4-1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-9

Part B for July 1919

SUBJECT.

Permanent lease of certain Inam lands in the Sirsha Taluk enclosed to Sri Sundapur Vyadaraya Swami Matha.

To. The Dy. Comr Shimoga
no. 30 d. 4.7.1919

Passes orders re the ———

Copies to Appellant & Resp
no 3142 d. 4.7.19

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19
C

V. Bhatappa.
Recorder
25.8.1919

R. S. 11] 1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inizmai)

NOTES.

File No 380/15

FILE HEADING.

Permanent case of certain inam lands in the Hirehalli Taluk endowed to Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matha.

FROM

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist

No 267/44 Dated 29-12-15 Received

Diary No. 1096	Enclos 1+1 Rpt Spare Copies.	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits orders of Govt on the petition moved to him by Kavadi Venkatarao setting forth claims against Govt in the matter of the attachment of the produce of inam S. no. 4 of Kerahalli Village, Hirehalli Taluk, endowed to Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matha.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sl. 2. From, The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist, Letter No. 268/45 dt 29-12-1915.

Recommends the resumption of lands in Yedehalli Village, alienated from the Said Matha.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GIB 20 006—8 8-14

R.S

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 380 of 1915.

Serial No.

In this case, there are two points for decision:—

(1) Orders of Govt on the notice issued to the Dy. Commr on behalf of one Hero Venkatoo Naoo, setting forth certain claims against Govt in the matter of the attachment of the produce of the inam land S. no. 4 of Neratur village in the Tirthahalli Taluk endowed to Sri Kundapura Vyasa Samaja Swami matha.

(2) Resumption of inam S. nos. 38 and 70 of Yedehalli village, Tirthahalli Taluk, given out on mulgeni tenure to one Jinati Venkataramanayya (deceased).

re I — The facts relating to this point are given at length in the Dy. Commrs. memorandum (Paras 9-13) received with his letter no. 267/44 dy 29th December 15. S. no. 4 of Neratur village measuring 4.5 a & and assessed at Rs 17 is a khalsa inam endowed to Kundapur Vyasaraja Swami matha. The late Agent Hotanakatte Subbaya Shanbhag is said to have leased out this land on mulgeni tenure under the registered deed dy 31-3-1882, to Kerodi Venkatraya who was paying a nisfate of Rs 2 to the matha and a kandaya of Rs 16 to Govt

(Venkata Naoo's Kyphiyat dy 9.5.04 Ex: F)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPI —70 000—17 12 15

R. S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 380 of 1915.

Serial No.

Venkata Rov sub leased the land to his tenant Sidda under a registered kuta

not available

Seni died dy 22-9-1896, and had been collecting the rent due to him as per terms of the lease deed. Sidda is since dead and his widow manja Heggadathi is cultivating the land. As the matha building at Nithahalli fell into disrepair, the Amildar issued

Fg. Ct.

notice on 22-5-06 to the Sagarualidars (one of them is manji Heggadathi) to deliver the produce to the Taluk Office. After some correspondence, the Swamiji was given notice more than once to repair the matha building within a stipulated time, and in Smt. no 5088 dy. 21-5-1908, he was asked to assign reasons as to why the lands of the matha should not be taken under Govt. management for failure to observe the conditions of the inam grant while forwarding a copy to the Dy. Comm. it was ordered that the produce of the inam lands be kept in amanath pending orders of Govt. — In consequence of this order, mushalikas have been obtained from the cultivators of

Fg. I & R (Vol. I p. 61)

Fg. L (Copies in Vol. 1 pp. 100-103)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70,000—17 12 15

G R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 350 of 1915.

Serial No.

X H1
H

This land – Nanji and her husband's brothers Rama Gri Nimmanna to deliver the produce to Govt whenever ordered to do so –

M

Kerodi Venkatadri has issued a notice to the Dist. Muz. Officer and the Dy. Commr stating that in consequence of the Amildar's prohibitory notice, his sub-Tenants have withheld the rent due to him since 1904, that the total Sum realized together with interest thereon by way of damages, amounts to Rs 500/-, that the Govt. order directed the attachment of the rent due to the Matha and not due to Tenants from sub-Tenants, that the Govt. cannot in law seize the rents due to matha's Tenants, and such attachment is ultravires and ~~illegal~~ illegal. It is prayed that the above sum may be refunded and the Amildar's prohibitory order to Siddha and Continued against his heirs may be withdrawn. 2 months' time is allowed for a reply and the period expires on the 31st January 1916.

The Dy Commr thinks that the above grounds are not in his opinion

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

R S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 350 of 1915.

Serial No.

Substantiate for the following reasons:-
(1) the land being Devadaya, the transaction amounts to an alienation which neither the matha nor the petr is authorized to make, and the transaction is null and void under the alienation rules of 1877,
(2) As the attachment was ordered by Govt, the Sagavalidars have been asked to deliver the produce to Govt, and not to matha or to its servants.
(3) the matha building has not been completely restored, and the endowments will have to continue under Govt management.

the Dy Commr adds that as ~~Govt~~ it ~~[illegible]~~ is not right to hold ~~the present incumbent~~ him personally liable, the suit is clearly one against Govt, which is not admissible in the Law courts.

Pq. A

No. II. In his Srimukha addressed to Govt, the Swami prays for Govt interference in respect of certain inam lands in Sanyasi Kodamaggi village of the Shimoga Taluk alienated from the matha the Dy. Commr reports

Pq B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

that the matha owns no inam lands in the above village.

There are lands S.Nos. 38 and 70 in Yedehalli village of the Tirthahalli Taluk. In his Statement dt 8-5-1924, Javali Seenappa son of Venkataramaniah (deceased lessee) says that S.No. 38 of Nakkimane village was leased out on mula Seni Tenure to his father by matha authorities and the Mulgenichak of S.No. 70 of Indavara was sold to his father by Kudumalli Subrao, that both the lands are in his possession, that he is paying a "higate" of 12 manuds of areca to the matha for the 1st land and Rs 10 for the 2nd (Rs 5 and odd towards Kandaya and Rs 5 for puja in Mahalakshmi Temple at Indavara as stipulated in the bond for S.No. 70). He promised to produce the documents with him, but did not do so. (Those Khata is in the name of the matha)

The Dy. Commr states that no documentary evidence has been produced by either party to prove their contentions, and recommends that as the transaction is quite contrary to standing orders, and as the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

G R S. 12]

S General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 340 of 1915.

10919

Serial No.

Lands are devadaya inam, the Mulagni Tenure may be Cancelled

Submtd. for orders

AV(95) 18.1.16

18/1/16

365

Submitted for Hon. member

There are two matters for orders. The first relates to the threatened Suit against the Deputy Commissioner. The Govt. have consistently been holding that a Mulgeni is an alienation unless the Civil Courts permit it. This position may be stated. The Matter is near the Cost of such a Suit. As the action of Govt. in the matter was prompted by the Matter. Always safe all the points goes to it. The Bd Comrs may reply if need be that the action of the Mysore Dept. was in accordance with the Rules and Standing orders on the Subject.

On the second question further information and study are required. The matter will be taken up Separately and Submitted for orders

Lih.

19.1.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70,000—17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 380 of 1915.

Serial No.

The order of Govt seems to have been substantially right. But was the procedure prescribed correctly followed? A Notice (L) was issued to the Swamiar the produce place, in 'Amanath'. But how was the matter finally disposed of?

12/2/16

14/2/16

Subd to 1st member

The actual situation as regards the land in question S. no 4 of Veraton village permanently leased to Kerode venkatesa Nayaka Mudgeri is as follows.

On 18th may 1908 a final notice was issued to the Swamigalu to show cause why the main lands should not be temporarily resumed and at the same time the Bd was desired to attach the produce of the lands pending the final order.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 380 of 1915.

Serial No.

This was accordingly done and S. No 4 of Neralu village also came under attachment. The auildar rightly declined to recognize the mulgeni right of Venkata Rao and attached the produce in the hands of the actual tenant.

The Investigator has however made the arrangements for the repairs of the Math buildings and this arrangement was accepted by Govt in this office letter No 1639 dated 12th April 1913 to the Dr Comm. By this the responsibility of repairs was entrusted to one Subraya Shastri a lessee of five main lands belonging to the Matha other than S No 4 of Neralu.

There is thus no existing reason why the attachment of the produce of this and other members must still continue. The produce of the previous years has been sold and a Sum of Rs 825:9:5 has been credited to S. B account in favour of the Matha in the year 1913.

The lands were never actually resumed or taken possession of and the procedure indicated at

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000- 17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

1099

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 380 1915.

Serial No.

N.W.P. no 266 of 17 N.W. 1877 does not appear to have been adopted. Now that a right under a mulgani lease has definitely been raised it is a matter for consideration whether steps to actually resume the lands should not be taken, due notice under the pass being issued.

It would be a question in either case whether venkata Rao was not be entitled to the mulgani profits until the land is actually resumed. This seems to be equitable and if it is considered so, the case for venkata Rao is the strong and it may advisable to offer terms. It may be stated in this connection that the question of taking under management the properties of the Matha owing to present mismanagement have been considered by Govt before long

453

Ask Consult D.C what he has to say to this Course.

19/2/16

18.2.16

21/2/16

Draft.

21.2.16

Submitted with a D.O. letter

NW(95) 22.2.1916

approved accordingly 27/2/16

27/2/16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

1096

and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 380 of 1915.

Serial No.	
3	No 2027 dt 22.2.16 2 Dy Comr, Shimoga, calls for further information in the matter
4.	From, the Dy Comr, Shimoga Lr. Letter no 483 dt 26.6.1916 Submits further report called for in Serial 3. The Dy. Comrs. report explains the facts of the case fully. The Dy Comr reports that the matha building at Nittahalli has been now practically completed, and consequently there is, as observed in Sl. 3, no longer any necessity for the continuation of the attachment of the produce – As regards the claim put forward by K. Venkatesh Rao to the produce now under attachment, of inam S. no. 4 of Neratur village, it will be seen that in a statement given before the Dy. Comr on 5th June 1916, Venkatesh Rao prays that the surplus which has now accrued and remains deposited in the Nittahalli Savings Bank, may be given to him after deducting the Kandaya, that the order of Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000– 17 12-15

(12)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 350 of 191 5.

Serial No.

directing the attachment of the produce may be withdrawn & the land restored to him, and adds that he has spent Rs 200/- for the improvement of the land and that he will be put to much loss if the land is taken away from him—

The Dy Canmr thinks that the above proposals are fair and may be accepted, and that the net surplus of Rs 66-2-0, as detailed in para 3 of his letter, may be made over to Venkata Rau. He reports that if Venkata Rau is to be dispossessed of the land, it will be reasonable to ask the matha to pay to Venkata Rs 200/- which he has spent, as well as Rs 228-8-0 (being the net surplus from the land for 8 years). He adds that as a matter of principle, a mulgeni lease of Devadaya inam should not be allowed to continue, the matha may be required to lease the land to Venkata Rau for a definite period viz 30 years or so, Compensating him in a reasonable manner.

Orders are solicited regarding.

E—Contn. sheet

GPI —70 000—1-12-15

2134

(13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 3[illegible] of 191[illegible].

Serial No.

of Veraturu village and the resumption of inam S. nos. 38 and 70 of Yedehalli village given out as mulgeni tenure to one Gavadi Venkataramanayya

Submitted for orders.

M (Spl) 30/10/16 ann 7/11/16

Draft G.O sanctioning the return of the balance and the renewal of attachment as recommended by the DR comrs.

Draft a Vij. Pat. to the Swami requesting that steps may immediately be taken to cancel the lease into a term lease as mulgeni is virtually an alienation and that if the alienation of the character of the lease is not effected within a year make known the Govt's wish to take steps to resume the inam. & this reserves all the inam lands may be included

lcg
18.12.

Submitted bills 2 & 3/65 for approval

M (94) 29.1.17 ann 30/1/17

Put up clean draft and a revised Vij. Pat. on the lines indicated

lcg
31.1.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17 12 15

2134 of 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 380 of 1915.

Serial No.

Submitted with a clean dft and a revised Vig patrika

2/2/17

Subt with a draft order. The solution now suggested appears to be the best under the circumstances. A Vig. Patrika is also proposed to be sent to the Swami to have the mulgeni leases cancelled. Further steps may be taken in the matter later on, if the Swami reports that he cannot come to an arrangement with the parties

(1st member)

5.2.17

Drafts approved by the first Member

6.2.17

Issue

S No. 2094-5 dy 15.2.17; to Dewan Bahadur Govt directs the retention of the lands in question to Kavur Venkata Rowu pssn & states that the Swami will be addressed separately as regards the question of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(GPL.—70 000 17 12 1)

(15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mys File No. 380 of 191 8

Serial No.

converting the mulgani lease into a term lease.

5 No: 1096 dt 26.2.17, to the same informing him of the above and directs the next action be taken by him with regard to the lease of the lands as mulgani lease is agreed to draw rules.

(6) From, the Sheristadar of Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami math, Sringeri Dt Parigupavanna Samvatsara, Chaitra Suddha Sadhye.

Expresses the intimation called Expresses his views in the matter of the cancellation of the mulgani right of Sirsi Math lands in the Sirsi Taluk -

The Swami States that he did not permanently lease out the Math lands in Neralkai, and Yedehalli, and also Indavana Villages of the Sirsi Taluk; that he is given to understand that his guru leased out on mulgani basis Jenure the lands in Indavana Village to Javali Venkatramanaiya and another, but he has no documents relating to the transaction; and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

1654

MD 123J—GPL—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(16

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mysore File No. 380 of 1915

Serial No.

prays that the accumulated Savings held in deposit from the date of the attachment of these lands may be made over to him and that steps may be taken for the restoration of these lands to the Math and adds that as he was busy with the dispute relating to the Math lands in Rundapeer, this matter did not engage his attention.

Submitted for orders
N (Rev) 20.4.17
23/4/17

The Swami says that he is unable to come to an arrangement with the ryots and prays for Govt help. Request the D.C. consult to issue notices to the parties concerned to show cause why the lands in question should not be resumed under Ch. B.T. Comrs circular 1877
Put up draft.

15.5.17

Submitted with a draft for approval
N (Rev) 21.5.17
22/5/17

Approved
22/5/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 1283—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G..R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | New File No. 3 80 of 191 S.

Serial No.	
7	No: 2934 dy 25.5.17, b do of Shimoga, calls for further report on the resumption of lands alienated from the Kaval in question.
8	From, the Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist, Letter no. 1071 dy 10-1-1919. States the objections raised by the Mulgeni Tenants for the restoration of the Matt lands and recommends that Govt may be fully justified under the Ch. Commrs. Notification of 1877 in resuming the lands summarily from the Mulgeni Tenants and restoring them to the Kaval. The Dy Commrs. letter explains the facts of the case. Petition no. 1243 from Veerabhadra Rao stating that all the records in his possession were not perused by the Dy. Commr at the time of his report to Govt, and praying that opportunity may be afforded to him to represent his case before Govt and asking that he be called upon to show cause why the said lands should not be restored to the Kaval

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Huz File No. 380 of 1915

Serial No

possession, is placed on the file
may see

Subd with reference
to orders on 19 & 19.
The case need not
be posted. — The parties may be informed
as to how the matter stands.

Sd.
7/2/19.

(Seen)

I do not understand
the above recommendation. The notice
issued is preliminary to action under
Ch. Comrs not. of 1877. We have to
see whether the notice is in force and
then direct further proceedings. But
before doing so it may be well to give
the parties a hearing.

Please examine if
all the formalities required under
the Not. have been observed and
put up for Tr. order.

Sd
13/2/19.

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc. File No. 380 of 1915.

Serial No.

From a perusal of the records, it will be seen that the formalities required under the Notification of 1874 seem to have been observed.

Notices have been issued to the aliuees by the Dy Commr to show cause why the lands in question should not be resumed. They were heard in person and through their Advocates by the Dy. Commr and the objections raised have been reported to Govt. The Mahl was also represented by its Advocate when the case was heard by the Dy Com.

Subject. Inwards

M/14 2 4 Rly 14/2/19

Secy.

19/2/19.

A Mulgeni lease of Devadaya lands has always been considered as an alienation and steps have been taken in a number of instances to attach such lands in the hands of the Mulgenidars and to restore them to Mugrai institutions.

In the present Cases also, the Govt will be [illegible] acting uniform

vide p. 28. Mys. Mahs.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the power vested in them by the Chief Comm's not^n of 1877 & they proceed to attach & restore the lands to the native. The D^t Court was asked to serve notices on the parties as required under Para 13 & cl 2 of the not^n. The parties have been heard and their statements are on the file.

None of the reasons mentioned by them are sufficient to stay action, but before ordering restoration, the parties are entitled on equitable grounds to be reimbursed the amounts spent by them on specific improvements in a way this was already recognised by Govt in their order No 2074-5 dated 19-2-1917 vide Para 5. As an alternative the Govt may convert the mulgeni into a term lease say for 10 or 15 years on the existing terms, the lands reverting to the native at the end of the period. In this case no elaborate enquiry regarding improvements need be entered into and the Swamis need not be called upon to produce ready money for compensation.

Since the D^t Comm's letter Mr. Venkata Rao has petitioned Govt to be given a hearing & the notices are issued. vide pet^n in file

Lrg (39 E)
20.2.19

Lrg
20.2.19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(1st Member)

Lrg
20.2.19

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MMJ File No. 380 of 1915

Serial No	
493	I shall hear parties before final orders are passed - 15/5/19. Post with connected papers.
	Case.
	Ld
	23/2/19
	Submts with D/A notices.
	MMJ 11.3.19 Ld 11/3/19
	Approved. 12/3/19.
11.4.19.	1st Appt K Venkata Rao was present 2nd Appte was Absent on account of illness. The Respondent was represented by Yelavarthi Ramarao. At the request of parties, case was adjourned to 16.5.19. BO 12/4/19.
	Submts with D/A notices to 16.5.19.
	MMJ 15.4.19 Ld 15/4/19
	Approved. 15/4/19
	Draft order. In the meanwhile Counsel. Govt. Asst. Registrar absent. S. no. Govt. is known as Devadaya Dharmadarsini maybe sent for. Ld 15.5.19
	20/5/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1094

(22

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Huz File No. 360 of 1915.

Serial No.

Submd. with a Dft. order

M 24.5.19 Sd 24.5.19

The fair-rent register is put up. It is nowhere mentioned that S.No. 70 of Yedehalli is Devadaya inam in the khata of Kundapur math. The Dft. order may come after approval.

Submd

M 17.6.19.

Sd 18/6

Leey.

Draft is submitted for approval.

Sd. 18/6/19.

Done 20/6

Sd 20/6/19.

9 2 Dy Comm. Shimoga Distt.

No. 30 & 4 7.1919.

Passes orders on the appeal petn

31 & 32 Copies to applicants &

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

No 96

19/5-16/16

TION Misc

No. 380-15

SERIAL No. 21

From 191 15-16.

The Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To The Secretary to the Governmen of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Dated 27th December 1915.

Despatched 29

Received

General No. 267

Misc No. 46

Enclosure No. Orde

Submits for favor of early orders of Govt. a memorandum re full particulars of the case referred to in the lett (in the matter of the attac of the produce of the inam land endowed to Sri Kundapur Vysarai Swami Matt at Tirthahalli).

GPB—50,000—26 9 12

No. Office of the Deputy Commissio
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga 27th December 191

From

K. Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
~~General and~~ Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that Messrs. S.R.Balakrishna Rao, Advocate and S. Ramachandra Rao, Pleader, on behalf of one Kerodi Venkata Rao have issued a notice Registered No.2162 dated the 30th ultimo setting forth certain claims against Government in the matter of the attachment in pursuance of an order No.5089 dated the 18th May 1908 from Government of the produce of the inam land S.No.4 of Neratur village in the Tirthahalli taluk, which has been endowed to the Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matta at Tirthahalli and to submit herewith for favor of early orders of Government a memorandum containing full particulars of the case as required by Rules 2 and 3 of Government order No.4668-79-Cir.137 dated 25th September 1888.

2. The notice referred to above and the entire vernacular records relating to the case are herein enclosed for reference and obliging return.

3. The period of ~~the~~ two months after service of notice expires on the 30th January 1915.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Acting Assistant Court
for Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

C.N.R.

A Memorandum relating to the attachment of Inam Lands endowed to the Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami's Matt at Tirthahalli.

The Kundapur Vyasaravaswami Matt at Tirthahalli is a major muzrai institution endowed with certain inam lands measuring 27 acres and 37 guntas assessed at Rs 173/- the Jodi payable thereon being Rs 156-5-Ø as particularised hereunder:-

Village.	Class of lands.	S.No.	Extent. A.	G.	Assessment. Rs.	As.	P.	Jodi. Rs	As.	P.
1.Asali Horani Dakle Tattigavi.	Wet.	27	3 -	3	12-	0 -	0	11	5	✓
2.Neytur.	Wet	4	4 -	5	17-	0 -	0	21	4	0
	Garden	31	1 -	37	6-	8 -	0			
3.Bintala.	Wet	3	1 -	33	6-	0 -	0	5	8	0
4.Asali Yede-halli. Dakle Indavara	Wet	✓36 part	6-	25	27-	8 -	0	118-4-0.		
	Garden	✓ 37	3-	7	57-	0 -	0			
	Garden.	38	1-	6	21-	0 -	0			
	Dry. Wet.	✓85 part.	0-	13	0-	4 -	0			
			3-	4	17-	0 -	0			
	Wet.	89 part.	2-24		8-	12 -	0			
	Total		27-37		173-0-0			156-5-1.		

2. The Parapattegar of the Matt petitioned ~~to~~ the Government on 14th March 1903 stating that certain gold and silver jewels of the matt of the value of Rs 12000/- were pledged for Rs 1800/- and praved for an advance of Rs 5000/- as a loan on the hypothecation of the inam lands of the matt.

3. On this the Government in their order No.247-9/ Muz.F.6-03 dated 23rd July 1903 ordered that no loan could be sanctioned and observed that the transaction referred to in the petition was a glaring case of mismanagement and misdirection of the properties of the matt and a report was called for whether any inam lands of the matt had been alienated.

4. In the meantime the ~~savings~~ Swami of the matt also in his petition No.314 dated 17th August 1903 brought to the notice of the Government that certain villages of the matt in the

Tirthahalli Taluk were leased out permanently by his predec for small amounts and prayed for the interference of Government in the matter. A report was called for on this also by the Government.

5. On a report made from this office that the marginally noted

	A.	G.
Part of S.No.36 =	6 -	25
Do 37 =	3 -	7

two lands situated in Yedehalli village of the Tirthahalli taluk which had been leased out by the matt to one Venkataramanabhatta were hypothecated by the lesse along with his own lands for Rs 2500/- to one Javale Manjappa and Vittalayya of Tirthahalli in the year 1888, Government in their order No.1370-7/Muz.F.C-1903 dated 12th September 1905 cancelled the lease under clause (c) Rule 2 of Government Notification No.266 dated 17th November 1877 and directed that thelands should be ~~reserted~~ restored to the matt authorities (vide ferist No.53). The review petitions from Shankarabhatta son of Venkataramanabhatta, the original lessee, and Javale Manjappa were rejected by Government. (vide No.2525 dated 12th November 1906 and No.895 dated 14th August 1907).

6. In the meantime the Swamigalu in his petition No.3725 dated 28th February 1906 to Government represented that the matt building at Tirthahalli was badly in need of repairs and prayed that the inam lands might be temporarily taken over under Government management. After consulting the Deputy Commissioner, the Government issued an endorsement to the Swamigalu in No.356 dated 17th July 1906 to the effect that the matt should be repaired within one year from that date failing which the management of the inam lands etc of the matt would be assumed by Government. On a further representation of the Swami the time allowed was extended by a year (vide Government order No.6076 dated 25th June 1907).

7. As the Matt authorities, however, took no steps to have the building restored , it was ordered by Government in their No.5089 dated the 18th May 1908 that pending final orders the produce of the lands endowed to the matt should be kept in amanat. The Amildar to whom ~~was communicated with this order issued~~ was communicated issued notices to all the tenants who were cultivating the lands not to deliver the produce derived therefrom to anybody until further orders

and obtained mutchalikas from them to that effect. Amildar reported on 8th March 1910 that it was not advisable to allow the produce to remain with the ryots, several of them being temporary residents, and that paddy and arecanut were likely to be spoiled and recommended that they might be sold and the realisations held in deposit. An order in accordance with this recommendation was issued from this office on 25th April 1910 (vide ferists Nos.90 and 91).

8. The two survey numbers hypothecated were restored to the matt and these lands along with three other survey numbers were leased out to one Subbarayasastri by the matt authorities on an annual rental of Rs 330/- as directed by Government (vide ferist No.98). In accordance with a "rayasa" issued to him by the Swami in June 1908, this Subbarayasastri undertook the work of re-constructing the matt building (vide ferist No.99). Government were therefore pleased to direct in their order No.1839 Muz.F.241-12-2 dated 12th April 1913 that he might be allowed to complete the repairs and to recoup himself from the annual rent payable by him to the matt, according to the terms of the "rayasa" of the Swami and that a mutchalika should be taken from him fixing the time limit for his completion of the repairs on pain of his being compelled to pay to Government the gutta amount due by him to the matt with effect from that year. On receipt of this order necessary mutchalikas was executed by him with the condition that he should complete the repairs of the matt and Brindavana before the 1st of July 1915 in accordance with estimates approved by the Deputy Commissioner (vide ferist No.2 file C). Of the estimated cost of Rs 2492/- for these repairs, work of the value of Rs 1448-3-11 has been done by him till now at his own cost and the remaining work is pending completion.

9. While matters stand thus, one Kerodi Venkata Rao filed a petition before me on the 5th August 1915 stating that he holds the inam land S.No.4 of Neratur village as a permanent tenant from the matt under a registered mulgeni lease dated the 31st March 1882 and has ever since been in possession and enjoyment of it through his sub-tenants, that the Amildar of Tirthahalli taluk has issued prohibitory order to his sub-tenants restraining them from paying the annual

rental to the petitioner due from them in pursuance of an passed from this office and prayed that as the matt is completel rebuilt the prohibitory order may be withdrawn and the amount due to him refunded.

10. Before presenting this petition to me, the petitioner refer to above had issued a lawyers' notice to the Amildar on 31st July 19 asking the Amildar to withdraw the order of injunction failing which he would be liable to be sued in a civil court. The petitioner being informed that his petition could not be taken into consideration as long as such a lawyers' notice was in existence, he withdraw the notice issued to the Amildar. Thereupon the Amildar was called upon to submit a report in the matter. On receipt of his report with the connected vernacular records the petitioner and his pleader were heard. As the produce of the inam land in question was kept in amana as directed by Government in 1908 and as the repairs of the matt building were pending completion, I found no reason to interfere in the matter and an endorsement to that effect was issued on 11th September 1915, copy of the endorsement is enclosed in the record.

11. Messrs. S.R. Balakrishna Rao, Advocate, and S.Ramachandra Rao, pleader, for the petitioner have now issued a notice dated the 30th November 1915 to the Deputy Commissioner and District Muzrai officer setting forth certain claims against Government and demanding redress within two months failing which a suit would be instituted in a proper court for the relief stated therein.

12. The grounds put forth are not in my opinion substantial for the following reasons:

(i) It is seen from the vernacular records that S.No.4 situated in Neratur village was leased out on Mulgani tenure to the petitioner by the then Agent of Matt in 1882. The lessee in his tenure has given out the land to one Sidda on Mulgeni tenure on an advantageous term to himself. This land being devadayam Inam granted for the support of the matt, the transaction amounts to an alienation which neither the matt nor the petitioner is authorised to make under clause C of Government notification No.266 dated 17th November 1877 referred to in para 5 supra, this transaction is null and void.

(ii) As the attachment of the produce of all the Inam lands including this survey number has been ordered by Government the saguvalidars have been asked to deliver the produce thereof to Government and not to the mutt or to the tenants thereof.

(iii) The matt building has not yet been completely restored and the management of the endowments will have to continue in the hands of Government till this is done.

13. Further, a suit is threatened against 'The Deputy Commissioner and District Muzrai officer'. As it is apparently not sought to hold the present incumbent personally liable, the suit is clearly one against Government, which is not admissible in the Law Courts.

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

C.N.R.

DIARY No.....1099

19/5/16

Mus

380-15

X 2

From 191 15-16. Bal

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Dated 27th Dec. 1915.

Despatched 29

Received

General No. 268

Mus No. 45

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his docket No.1764/Muz.57-11 dated the 16th April 1913 reports ~~RR~~ on re an extract from the Srimukha No.287 1887 dated the 10th May 1912 from the Swamigalu of Sri Kundapura Vyasarayaswami Mattha at Tirthahalli.

GPB—50,000—26 9 12

No. 268

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga 27th December 1915.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

V.R. Thyagaraja Iver, Esquire., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
~~General and~~ Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No.1764/Muz.57-11 dated the 16th April 1913 forwarding for report an extract from the Srimukha No.287/1887 dated the 10th May 1912 from the Swamigalu of Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matha at Tirthahalli complaining of the attachment of the Matt villages in this district, the non-collection of rents due to the Matha from the lessees and the leasing out of certain inam lands on Mulgandi tenure etc I have the honor to invite kind reference to my letter of this date to you submitting a memorandum containing the full particulars of the case together with the vernacular records relating to the subject.

2. Owing to the dilapidated condition of the Matt building the management of the inam lands endowed to the Matt was assumed by Government in 1908 and ever since the realisations therefrom are being collected and credited to the muzrai funds of the institution after defraying the expenses of Jodi due thereon.

3. It is seen from the vernacular correspondence that there are no inam lands endowed to this Matta in the Sanvasi Kodamaggi village of the Shimoga taluk and this fact has already been reported to Government in the office letter No.510/25 dated 19th March 1904 (vide also ferist Nos.27 to 31).

4. The inam lands S.Nos.38 and 70 of Yedehalli village, Tirthahalli taluk are said to have been given out on perpetual lease (Mulgandi) to one Javali Venkataramanayya (deceased) by the Matt authorities and the Swami

(32-

prays for the cancellation of the lease. Seenappa, son of the original lessee admits that the said two lands are in his possession and that he is paying a 'migate' of 12 maunds of areca for the 1st land and Rs 10/- for the second (vide Ferist Nos.40 of File A and 8 of file B). No documentary evidence has, however, been produced by either party to prove their contentions. Anyhow the transaction is quite contrary to standing orders, the lands being Devadaya inam and the Mulgeni tenure may be cancelled.

5. The extract from the Srimukha received with your docket under advertance is filed with the connected vernacular records referred to in para 1 supra (vide ferist No.7 file C).

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

C.N.P.

File No. 380-1

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to. ---

No 2027 Ex/file

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

To

The Deputy Commr
Shimoga Dt

Date of issue 24-2-916.

Signed by M.G. Devanandiengar

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 267/Dy 29/12.15 submitting for orders of Govt a notice issued by Kerodi Venkata Rao thro his Advocates Messrs S.R Balakrishna Rao and S. Ramachandra Rao setting forth his claim to ₹ 2 p.c. on

over

SUBJECT

now under attachment
of inam Survey No 4
of Veratur village,
Sirthahalli Taluk, endowed
to Sri Kundapur Vyasa
-prayasvami Mattea,
I am directed to observe
that owing to the failure
of the Matha to keep
the Matha building
at Sirthahalli in
proper repairs, the produce
of this and other inam
lands endowed to the
Matha was attached
with a view if need
be to undertake the
repairs from the proceeds.
On Communication,
of the steps taken, to
the Swamigalu, he entered
into certain arrangements
with his lessee Subbaraya
Sastri for the execution

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

J.P.

of the necessary repairs from the rent due to the Mattia and this was accepted by Govt in their order No 1639 Dy 12. 4. 1913. It would thus seem that there is no longer any reason existing for the continuation of the attachment of the produce, seeing that the Mattia building is being properly repaired; and that separate action has to be taken under Notfn No 266 Dy 17. 11. 1877 for the resumption of the alienated land now that Venkatrao has definitely passed

the existence of a right
under a mookalagem lease
said to have been
executed in his favor
by a previous agent
of the Matha. As
in the circumstances
of the case it seems
to be equitable to
allow Venkatarao
to enjoy the profits
of his lease until the
land is actually
resumed by Govt
under the Chief
Comm's Notification
I am to suggest
whether it will not
be advantageous to
come to some terms
with ~~him~~ Venkatarao
on the basis of paying to
him the proceeds from

GPB—20,000—16 2 14

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

(37)

the land after deducting the amount due by him to the Mutha and ~~[illegible]~~ up Venkata Rao giving his rights under the alleged moolageni lease with effect from the current year.

On receipt of the report now called for the question of issuing formal order for the resumption of Survey No 4 of Nerakur village as also of Survey Nos 38 + 70 of Yedehalli village referred to in your letter No 268/45 dated 29. 12. 1915 will be taken up for Consideration.

The entire Ver

the records are herewith
returned for reference
and re-submission

I have &c,

clean
Dft

Cus
22-2-16

Secretary
Rev Departt

GPB—20,000—16-2 14

DIARY No. 2134

19(5-19) 16
SECTION Rev
FILE No. 380-15
SERIAL No. 4

11/27/16

From 1.1.17.15.

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 21st June 1916.

Despatched 26th June 1916.

Received

General No. 483
No. Rev 81.

Enclosure No. One + V. file.

Reports re the claim ~~Xkakes certain~~ put forward by Kerodi Venkata Rao to the produce now under attachment of inam survey No.4 of Keratur village endowed to the Kundapur Vyasaraya Matha at Tirthahalli.

GPB—50 000—26 9 19

No. 1834 Muz. 81

Office of

Dated Shimoga 21st June 1916.

From,

K. Matthan Esquire, B.A.

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

L. Krishna Rao, Esquire,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 2027 Muz. 330-15-3 dated the 24th February 1916 in regard to reclaim put forward by Kerodi Venkata Rao to the produce now under attachment of inam Survey No. 4 of Neratur village endowed to the Mundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matha at Thirthalli, I have the honor to state that the Matt building at Thirthalli has been now practically completed, and consequently there is as pointed out in para 2 of of your letter under reply, no longer any necessity for the continuation of the attachment of the produce.

2. As regards Venkata Rao's claim to the value of the attached produce and the point raised in your letter regarding the ~~connection~~ cancellation of the Mulgeni lease, Venkata Rao was given a hearing, with his Lawyer, on the 5th June 1916. In the course of the hearing it came to notice that in effecting the orders of Government to hold the produce of the land in amanat, the Taluk authorities had entered into new terms with the sub-tenants of Venkata Rao that whereas according to the terms of the sub-lease, the sub-tenants were to pay to Venkata Rao 23 kandies of paddy and three bundles of straw every year, the Amildar of the Taluk (Mr. Sri Narasimha Sastri) arranged to get only 10 kandies of paddy and since 1913-14 even this is not being fully recovered only the Mandavam due to Government having been recovered.

3. It was also brought to the notice (and I have no reason to disbelieve it) that Venkata Rao had spent over Rs 200/- in improving the land and in getting cultivators. He has thus suffered considerable pecuniary loss owing to the laches of the Government officers; and if he is now deprived of the land his loss would be still more serious. He has however

(4/1)

now agreed to withdraw all claims to be reimbursed for his losses since the produce was attached and has given before me a statement (herewith sent) to take the surplus that has now accrued and remains deposited in the savings bank less the annual payment of Rs 9/- to the Matha due under his Mulgeni lease, and any kandayam that may be due to Government. As will be seen from the statement annexed here to, the surplus amounts to Rs 32 -2- 0 available in cash besides any balance that may be probably be recovered from the sub-tenants for 1913-14, 1914-15 and 1915-16. It was stated that the kandayam for the current year had also been recovered. Thus after deducting the amount due to the Matha at Rs 9/- per year for 3 years from 1908-09, the balance that would be paid to Venkata Rao, if his proposals in the statement before me are accepted, would amount to only to Rs 66 -2- 0. He also prays that he may not be dispossessed of the land.

4. If the terms of Venkata Rao's lease to his sub-tenants had been properly enforced and the rent recovered as it fell due there would have been a sum of Rs 228-8-0 payable to him as per calculations on the margin.

Price of 22 kandies of paddy	Rs	42-0-0
do 3 bundles of straw		1-0-0
Total		47-0-0
Deduct kandayam	Rs	16-7-0
Balance per year		30-0-0
Deduct payment to Matha		9-0-0
Net surpluse per year		28-8-0
do for 3 years		228-8-0

5. On all the circumstances of the case it appears to me therefore that the proposals made by Venkata Rao in his statement before me are fair and reasonable and may be accepted. If Venkata Rao was to be dispossessed of the land it would be reasonable to ask the Matha to pay him the amount of about Rs 200/- which he has spent. It would also be fair to reimburse his losses to the extent of Rs 228-8-0 instead of Rs 66-2-0 as now proposed. If however the Government should be of opinion that, as a matter of principle, a Mulgeni lease of Devadaya inam land should not be allowed to continue, the Matha may be required to lease the land to Venkata Rao for a definite period, say, 30 years, compensating him

in a reasonable manner.

6. The entire vernacular record relating to the subject, ceived with the Thirthalli Taluk Amildar's ittilla No. 1050 date the 14th March 1916, is herewith submitted for reference and obli return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most ~~obedient~~ obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

G.R.S. 28]

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 5 TYPE 6. 15 FEB 1917

Passing orders as regards the claim put forward by Mr. Kerodi Venkataiah in the matter of the attachment of inam S. no. 4 of Neratur Village endowed to Sri Kundapur Vyasaraja Swami matt and leased out to him on Mulgeni Tenure

File No. 380/1915

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to 2134p

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

READ—

, the 191 .

Correspondence ending with letter no. 483 dated 21st June 1916 from the Dy. Commissioner, Shimoga District, reporting in

Date of issue 19. 2. 1917

Signed by M.G. Narasimhaiengar

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

May this be

i printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the Press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

the matter of the claim put forward by Mr. Kerodi Venkataiah for the possession of inam S. no 4 of Neratur Village endowed to Sri Kundapur

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—4-12-12

Vyasaraja Swami matt at
Tirthahalli and leased to him on mulgeni Tenure
Order Therein — No 2094-5 Emp file of
mm 380-15-5

19. 2. 917.

Survey no. 6 of Neralur
Village, Tirthahalli Taluk,
measuring 4-8-5 and assessed
at Rs 17 is a Khaloal
inam endowed to Sri Kandapur
Vyasaraya Swami matt and
said to have been permanently
leased to mr Kerodi Venkatachar
under mulgeni Tenure in 1882
by the then matt Agent
subject to the payment of
a Nigate of Rs 2/- to the
matt in addition to the
Kandayam of Rs 16-6-0
to Government
(2) As the matt building
at Tirthahalli fell into
disrepair, the Swamiji of
the Matt was requested
to make arrangements

G.R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

for the immediate repairs of the building on pain of the Temporary resumption of the inam lands and as a precautionary measure pending the issue of final orders of Government, the lands in question were brought under attachment.

3. S. no. 64 of Nerahee Village leased to Mr. Kerodi Venkata Rao was accordingly attached and the produce in the hands of the cultivating tenants were taken possession of. Mr. Venkata Rao claims that he has a valid lease from the Matt and that he is liable to pay only the Migate reserved to the institution and seeks to have the attachment set aside and the balance

of profits paid to him
by the Municipality having
since made other arrangements
for the repairs of the
Matt building, the Dy.
Commissioner reports that
the work is now practically
completed and that there
is no longer any necessity
to continue the attachment.
Under the circumstances,
the Dy. Commissioner is
requested to remove the
attachment and restore
possession of the land in
question to Mr. Rhodi Kuperda
Adv. The balance of profits
in the hands of Govt.
after payment of the
Mugate to the Matt and
the tax assessment to Govt.,
and reported to amount
to Rs 66-2-0 may also be

GPB—20 000—16-2-14

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

refunded to him.

5) The Svamijalu will be separately addressed in the matter of the Mulgeni case of the land in question. and the propriety of converting the same into a term lease, suitable compensation if need be being granted to Mr. Venkatadri as suggested by the Dy. Commissioner.

Recd 14/2

3-2-19

clear DA 2/2/19 for Secl-Secretary.

To,

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Distt, retg. the records.

" Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

GPB—20 090—16-2 14

No. 2096 dt. 19-[illegible]-1917
Mis. 380-15-5 26.

శ్రీ మల్లికార్జునదేవరవారి
అనేక భక్తులందరికీ
శ్రీ కుందాకుర వాస్తవ్యులు
వ్యాపారస్థులు మరియు శ్రీమాన్ అష్టైశ్వర్యములతో
భోగభాగ్యశ్రీ చాదంగా వర్ధిల్లుచుండగా॥ మీ

మీకు మేము క్షేమమే
మనకు మీ క్షేమమును తరచు కోరుచున్నాము.

తరువాత మీ భోగభాగ్యములు
అనుశ్రీ మరదకల్లుదారికి
విచారకరమైన చావు వార్తలు మీ
కళ్ళారా చూచినప్రకారము బాధలు
శోకాలు మీరే మనుభవిస్తూ
ఉన్నట్లు మీ ఉత్తరము వలన

ఈ సంగతులు శ్రీమతి
వారి నాయనగారు అనారోగ్యులై
వారు పంచభూతాలలో కలిసిన దగ్గరి
నుంచి ఆమె వారి మీద ఎక్కువ
చాదిస్తాలు ఉంటూ

ఈ మనిషిన దగ్గరి నుంచి
రిపేరులు చాలాకాలం కలిసివుండి
గండిరువల్లా రోగాలతో మంచానపడి
చూచి గండిరువల్లదగ్గరి నుంచి
మళ్ళీ ఉండ మిమ్మల్నీ మాకాగు
గుళ్ళో దొంగసుబ్బదగ్గిర వున్నవస్తువు

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible]
[illegible] 3 [illegible]

By Order
[illegible signature]
5-2-17
For Secy to Govt.
Genl Dept.

[illegible initials]
5/2/17

[illegible initials]
14/2

16

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಕಂಪನಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಂಬಳ ಅಥವಾ ಭತ್ಯೆ ನೀಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಬೆದರಿಕೆ ಹಾಕುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸ್ಥಳ: ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26-2-2017

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ಹಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ನನಗೆ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಬಡ್ತಿ ನೀಡಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಬಡ್ತಿ ಹೊಂದಲು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ವಯೋಮಿತಿ 38-50 ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದು, ನಾನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ 50 ವರ್ಷ ದಾಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಬಡ್ತಿ ಹೊಂದಲು ಅರ್ಹನಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಬಡ್ತಿ ಹೊಂದಲು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ವಯೋಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಡಿಲಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

(52

S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT: I Calls for further information about the resumption of certain inam land alienated from Sri Hundapar Vyasaraya Swami mutt]

Diary No. of letter replied to 1654

Section Inam
File No. 380
Serial No. 6/15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

No. 2934

Date of issue 25.5.1917

To

The Dy. Commissioner,
Shimoga Dist.

Signed by G Ravanandan for

Sir,

Adverting to para 5 of Govt Pro. no. 2094-5/Inam. 380-15-5 Dt 19th February 1914 regarding the resumption of inam S. no. 4 of Neratur Village and S. nos. 38 and 70 of Yedehalli Village in the Sirsi Taluk, alienated from the Swamiji of ~~through Budgaur lease from the~~ Sri Hundapar Vyasaraya

over.

25/5/17

Grants of Malguzari lease

(5)

Swami Matha, I am directed to state that the Sramidar who was asked to convert the lease into a term lease, ~~states~~ says in his Srimukha No 211 & 3/4/17. 1652 that he is unable to come to an arrangement with the raiyats and prays for Govt help in the matter. ~~I am directed to~~ He requested that you will be so good as to ~~arrange~~ have ~~come for~~ notices ~~being~~ issued to the parties concerned to show cause why the lands in question should not be resumed under clause B of the Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 266 dt 17th November 1874.

I have etc.

Sd

22/5/17

Joint Muzrai Secretary.

List of enclosure

and report. The result to Govt.

DF)

22/5/17

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60 000—15 11 16

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

33046

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

57

No. [illegible] Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

[illegible] District.

[illegible], 10th January 1919.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

leases, that they were regularly paying the rig tax to the Watt authorities, and that they have all filed with statements to that effect. [illegible] the leasing of the lands under [illegible] of the Watt lands.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

We further request that [illegible] and at that time the [illegible] established in [illegible]

8. I am of opinion that these lands being Devadaya Inam lands endowed to the Matt, the Matt authorities had no right to alienate them as mulgeni tenure, and the Government is fully justified under the Madras Resumption of Inam Act No. VII of the 17th November 1858 in resuming the lands given from the mulgeni tenure and granting them to the Matt.

9. The Government file received with the Board's Proceedings No. 402 dated 16th February 1914 is returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.A. Muthanna

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 10 of 1918 19

Appellant.

(1) Mr. Kerodi Venkata Rao,
(2) Mr. Javali Seshappa,

Vs.

Respondent

Swamiof Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matt Thro. Agent Mr. Yelavathi Rama Rao.

Subject.

Resumption under clause II (B) of the Mysalien Rules of 1877, of Survey No. 4 of Neralur Village, and Survey No. 35 and 70 of Yedehalli Village, Nelamangala Taluk, endowed to Sri Kundapur Vyasaraya Swami Matt permanently resumed by the Matt subject to the applicants

Decision

The case was heard on the 16th May

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

Zamindar of Venkatagiri and
Jawahir Seenatha Perumal Naicker
Shanmuga Rajeswara Rao
Respondents - Plaintiffs Appellees

Order.

The facts of the case, so far as Nos. 4 and 38 are concerned, are quite clear. They are declaring main lands endowed in favour of the Kumarapuram Mahanadu, but leased out on Melvaram Kanam in favour of the 1st and 2nd plaintiffs in 1852 and 1861 respectively by the then Zamindar. Such leases are, as pointed out more than once by Full Bench decisions and the case, governed by the rules contained in para 11 of the Permanent Settlement Regulation (XXV of 1802). Since these leases are subsequent to June 1, 1853, they are held to be under the Act and the Land

they may be
to continue in possession for
a further term of 2 years on
the existing terms & to pay
a rent as may be fixed, etc
The Act allows a reasonable
~~term~~ regard in all the circ:
and it is accordingly held
under that Act a tenant may
be allowed to continue on
terms for a term of eight
years from the date of the
order ~~on~~ and the existing terms
~~[illegible]~~ It will be quite open
to them to obtain a fresh lease
otherwise on terms to be then
~~fixed, if not, fixed~~ settled
as between them & the landlord,
but during the ~~term~~ orders
can be passed on the premises
at present.

2. The case with regard to Charge no. 70 (& no 69 at the Resumption) rather different. In the first place, though it is shown in the account of the Draft, it has not been proved that it is paid [illegible] in the ordinary course that [illegible] At any rate it is not charged to full [illegible] payable to [illegible] In the second place, there is no direct [illegible] that it was [illegible] directed by the Draft from the Agent [illegible] The 2d appellee's case is that it has been originally [illegible] in the Language of Kushwalla Subbarao Mudgeri Venkoba and that he purchased the Letters rights in Rupees 50 [illegible] This contention has not been proved [illegible] above, [illegible] there is not sufficient warrant for upholding the 2d appellee. We must to remand it [illegible] Bisant on payment [illegible] the Accounts

due before and in addition
of a drawing of 20 pages to the Archaka
of the Prachalekhani temple
as at present. If the Audit
Can establish its rights to the
management of the temple,
there will be nothing to prevent
its obtaining an order from the D.C.
for the payment of the sum
due. This is likely, unless
to the Archaka.

17-5-19

R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Memo no. 3
30 Enclosures Records

4.7.1919

Copy with the Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist, with the records received correspondence cadjusted with his letter no 1071 dy 10th January 1919.

B O.

Secretary
Rl Mug Dept
24.5.19

Copies to (1) The applt Thro. Their Advocate Mr K. Shankaranarayana Rao and (2) The respt Mr Yelavatti Ramaa Rao, Agent of Sri Kundapur Vyasaraja Swamy Matt, Tirthahalli,

B-O

Secretary
Rl Mug Dept
24-5-19

31 & 32 dy
4.7.1919

GPS—12,000—15-2-13.



65
Mg. 16/5/19

~~In the Court of the~~ Before the Govt. of Mysore.

Muzarai ~~CASE~~ NO. 10 OF 1918.—1919.

Appellant ~~*Plaintiff*~~.		*Respondent* ~~*Defendant*~~.
K. Venkata Rao.	Vs.	Sri Kundapur Vysakara Swami Matt.

1. ~~I the Appellant~~ ....above named do, by these presents, retain MR. K. SHANKARA NARAYANA RAU. M. A., B. L., *Advocate*, to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgment.

2. ....I .further empower him to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my .behalf and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds, and all sums of money that ....I... .may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. .....I....also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocate or by any other Advocate on his behalf in so doing.

K. Venkata Rao

BANGALORE
11. 4. 19.19

Personally known to me and signed ~~in my presence.~~

Accepted.
Advocate for Appt.
16/5/19

ಕೆಸ್ 16/5/19



~~ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಾ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ~~ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಸನ್ 1918—1919 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ Muzarai ನಂಬರಿನ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂ. 10.
~~ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ~~ ವಾದಿ
~~ಮೇಲೆ~~
ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಶೆಲವಪ್ಪನವರು.

ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರು, ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
(~~ಮೇಲೆ~~)
ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವೀರಭದ್ರಪ್ಪನವರು

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಾದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಾ॥ ಗಳಾದ
ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಕೆ. ಶಂಕರನಾರಾಯಣರಾವ್, ಎಂ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್.,
ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಬಿ. ಶೆಂಕರರಾವ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್ ಎಲ್. ಬಿ.,
ಅಲ್ಲೂರಿ ವೆಂ ~~ಪಿ. ಪೆಲಕಟಪಸುಬ್ಬಾ~~ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್ ಎಲ್. ಬಿ.—ಇವರಿಗೆ.

_____ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ನಡೆಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ದಸ್ತ್ರಿವಜು ವಗ್ಯೆರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾವಸಾಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು. ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಮತ್ತು ಡಿಕ್ರೀಹಣ, ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಬತ್ಯ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಇತರ ವಿಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಬಲಗು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೇ ಆಗಲಿ, ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಇನ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರೇ ಆಗಲಿ ನನಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾ॥ 16/5/ 1919 } ರುಜು ಕಾಡ್ದಕ್ಕಿಯ ಭೂಕರಪ್ಪಗೌಡರ ಮಗ

Accepted
[illegible]
Advocate. for Applt. 9/4/19

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತ್ಯಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮುಕ್ಷವು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

(ಪಟ್ಟೇಲ್ ಅಥವಾ ~~ಶ್ಯಾನುಭೋಗನ~~ ರುಜು.)

ಯು. ಪಿ. ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಾ—೧೯೧೮. 9/4/19

Muzrai, dated 3 th May 1

Statement showing the lands and villages attached to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sosale, T. Narsipur Taluk.

No.	District.	Taluk.	Village etc.
1	Tumkur	Tiptur	Saratanahalli and Halkurki.
2	Do	Tumkur	Dommanakuppe.
3	Do	Do	Tirumalapur.
4	Bangalore	Closepet	Koteyala Seshagirihalli, Karenahalli.
5	Do	Doddballapur	Kantanakunte.
6	Do	Bangalore	Belaguswasthi.
7	Do	Kankanhalli	Atchalu Bhatamanya.
8	Hassan	Belur	Yelavanka.
9	Do	Do	Settahalli.
10	Kolar	Goribidnur	Kankanapur.
11	Do	Sidlaghatta	Kondarajanahalli.
12	Chitaldrug	Challakere	Tipparaddahalli.
13	Do	Davangere	Devammanahalli.
14	Do	Molakalmuru	Bommanakatte and Obalapur.
15	Shimoga	Tirthahalli	Salegunakallu.
16	Do	Do	Mundavalli.
17	Mysore	T. Narsipur	Bannur.

(68

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th May 1903

No. 2413-23
Muz. F. 57-92

Directing that the management of the Inam lands and villages attached to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sosale, T. Narsipur Taluk, be taken over under Government management.

SBP—10-6-03—200

READ AGAIN:—

The late Muzrai Superintendent's letter No. 84, dated 22nd July 1893, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, observing that the monthly cash grant of Rs 331-7-8 payable to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt from the T. Narsipur Taluk, being a Devadaya grant, was not liable to attachment by the Civil Court, in satisfaction of a decree obtained by one of the creditors of the Matt, and that therefore the payment of the amount to the Matt should be withheld pending orders of Government.

Government Proceedings No. 190-1—Muz. F. 57-92, dated 27th August 1894, directing that, as the object of the monthly grant of Rs. 331-7-8 had been grossly neglected, the payment of the said grant should continue to be withheld and that puja to the Brindavanams and Santarpane at the Matt, should be carried out by the Muzrai Department.

Governmuet Proceedings No. 789—Muz. F. 57-92, dated 31st January 1896, observing, with reference to a telegram from the Swamigalavaru, that, as the allowance in question was under attachment owing to the Matt's neglect of the object of the grant, the attachment could not be with drawn without proper guarantee from the Matt for the proper fulfilment of such object.

Letter No. 84, dated 10th November 1902, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the present situation of the Matt affairs.

Government Proceedings Camp Nos. A. & B., dated 12th April 1903, sanctioning, with reference to several petitions from the Swamigalavaru and his Agent, the disbursement, as a temporary measure, of a monthly allowance of Rs. 200, out of the cash grant of Rs. 331-7-8 per mensem, and observing that the payment of the allowance should be on the distinct understanding that the Swamigalavaru would give a correct and complete list of the jewels and other property belonging to the Matt and bind himself to account for all such property.

AND—

Kanarese letter Registered No. 1760, dated 4th day of Chaitra Bahula of the year Sobhakrutu (14th April 1903) from the Swamigalavaru to the address of the Dewan, requesting that, as the Matt is involved in debts, the Government may be pleased to take over the management of the land endowments of the institution situated in the State, and forwarding a statement showing such endowments.

No. 2413-23—Muz. F. 57-1902, dated Bangalore, 30th May 1903.

Order thereon.—From the letters of the Deputy Commissioner and the Swamigalavaru, it is observed that the affairs of the Matt are in great disorder and heavy debts have been incurred on the security of the Inam lands endowed to the Institution. On account of the involved condition of the Matt, the Swamigalavaru has requested Government to take possession of all the land endowments of the Institution situated in the Mysore Territories, in view to their being managed through Government agency. In these circumstances, the Government are pleased to comply with the request made by the Swamigalavaru, and they accordingly direct that the management of all the lands and villages belonging to the Matt, situated in the Mysore Territories, as per statement hereto annexed, be taken possession of immediately by the Deputy Commissioners of the respective Districts, who will issue needful instructions to the Amildars concerned to give due publicity to the raiyats or others, who are in actual possession thereof, that the management of the endowments in question will, from the 1st July 1903, vest in Government and not with the Matt authorities.

2. The collections on the lands and villages shall be regularly made by the Amildars under the orders of the Deputy Commissioner of the District in which they are situated, as in the case of the Government villages, the same being remitted to the Bangalore District Treasury, for investment in the Government Savings Bank in the name of the Deputy Secretary to Government in charge of the Muzrai Department The D. C. B. and other statements shall also be submitted to the Muzrai Department of Government. The Comptroller to Government will also issue needful

instructions in the matter to the Taluk and the District Treasuries concerned, arrangements being at the same time made in communication with the Deputy Secretary, Muzrai Department, to place the hitherto accumulated savings of the Matt funds in the Government Savings Bank in the name of the Deputy Secretary.

3 From the statements received from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District as well as from the Swamigalavaru, it is observed that the Inam lands and villages endowed to the institution are situated throughout the Province except the Kadur District, and that almost all of them except Dommanakuppe in the Tumkur Taluk, and Yelavanka in the Belur Taluk, have been given away on long leases and that the rents in some cases have been taken in advance by the Swamigalavaru. Such long leases are practically temporary alienations and cannot be accepted as a matter of course by Government, under the terms of Government Notification No. 266, dated 17th November 1877. But before declaring them to be invalid, Government deem it desirable to enquire into each case and then make arrangements to determine the future terms of the lease or to cancel it. The Deputy Commissioners concerned are therefore requested to call upon the lessees to produce documentary evidence if any, within one month from the date of receipt of this order, showing how and when they came into possession of the Matt lands or villages and on what terms they have been enjoying them. On receipt of the necessary information from them, a full and clear report should be submitted on each case, together with the Deputy Commissioner's opinion as to the continuance or otherwise of the lease.

4. As regards Dommanakuppe in the Tumkur Taluk and Yelavanka in the Belur Taluk, which are both *Devadaya* Inam villages attached to the Matt, it appears that one Ranga Sreenivasachar, son of Hundi Krishnamachar, has been enjoying them in consideration of the debt said to be due to him by the Swamigalavaru. This is clearly an unauthorized alienation diverting the lands from the purpose for which they were granted, and the same is hereby cancelled under the terms of Government Notification No. 266, dated 17th November 1877. The Deputy Commissioners of the Tumkur and Hassan Districts are accordingly requested to take immediate steps to resume the villages from the possession of the alienee and place the management thereof in the hands of the Amildars of Tumkur and Belur respectively.

5. With reference to Government Order No. 689, dated 9th October 1902, directing that the Muzrai Assistant Commissioner of the Mysore District, be deputed to proceed to Sosale and get an inventory made of the jewels etc., belonging to the Matt, the Assistant Commissioner Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao (now Sub-Judge of Hassan) who was deputed for the purpose, reports that he did not do so, because he felt himself incompetent to value the jewels in question and that he did not handle the jewels as it seemed irreverent, if not sacrilegious. The Government are surprised and disappointed to hear of such an utter misconception of the duty expected of Mr. Seshagiri Rao. The Deputy Commissioner is therefore requested to again depute a competent Assistant Commissioner to proceed to Sosale with one or two professional men (who may be paid some small allowance from the Matt funds, if necessary) and have a correct inventory prepared and forward the same to Government with the signature of the Swamigalavaru.

6. As regards the repairs to the Matt building at Sosale, the Deputy Secretary to Government, who lately inspected the Matt on the 14th April 1903, reports that the front thotti is in a dilapidated condition and requires immediate attention. An estimate to renovate the building in question should at once be submitted for sanction.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Dy. Secy. to Govt., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of all Districts, except Kadur with spare copies for distribution to the Amildars concerned.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

The Swamigalavaru of the Sri Vyasaroyaswami Matt, (under a covering letter in Kanarese.)

The Registrar, Chief Court, Mysore, for information and communication to the Sub-Judge Mr. C. B. Seshagiri Rao, with reference to para 5.

Exd.—C.R.

EIGHT ANNAS

33177

1243

B. Venkata Rao

ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣೋ ಜಯತಿ

ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ಪರಮಹಂಸಪರಿವ್ರಾಜಕಾಚಾರ್ಯತ್ರಾದ್ಯನೇಕಗುಣ್ಣನ್ನಧಪನ್ನರಾದ ಪದ
ವಾಕ್ಯಪ್ರಮಾಣಪಾರಾವಾರಪಾರಂಗತ ಸರ್ವತಂತ್ರ ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರ ಶ್ರೀಮಚ್ಛ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣ ಪ
ಶಿದ್ಧಾಂತ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠಾಪನಾಚಾರ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಿದ್ಯಾನಗರಕನರ್ನಾಟಕಸಿಂಹಾಸನಾ
ಧೀಶ್ವರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವ್ಯಾಸಮುನಿಗೊಲಿದ ಗೋಪಾಲಕೃಷ್ಣದಿವ್ಯಶ್ರೀಪಾದಪದ್ಮಾ
ರಾಧಕರಾದ ಕುಂದಾಪುರ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವ್ಯಾಸರಾಜಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಾಧಿಪತಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮ
ಲ್ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪ್ರಿಯತೀರ್ಥ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರಕರಕಮಲಸಂಜಾತರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮಲ್ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಪ್ರ
ಸನ್ನತೀರ್ಥ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರು

ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಾಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳಾದ [illegible]

ಮಾಡುವ ನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ಮರಣಪೂರ್ವಕ ಅತಿಪ್ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ ಬರೆಸಿಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ [illegible]
[illegible] ಪರ್ಯಂತ
[illegible] ದಲ್ಲಿ ಗುರುದೇವತಾನುಗ್ರಹದಿಂದ
ನಾವು ಸ್ನಾನ ಜಪದೇವತಾರ್ಚನ ಪ್ರವಚನಾದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಕ್ಷೇಮೇಣ
ಇಧೇವೆ ನಿಮ್ಮಗಳ ಕ್ಷೇಮಸಮಾಚಾರಗಳನ್ನ ಪತ್ರಮುಖೇನ ತಿಳಿಸುವದು

ಸಂಪತ್ತಿ ॥

[illegible]

Vichara Darpana Press, Bangalore City.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಹಾಗಣಪತಯೇ ನಮಃ- ಶ್ರೀ ಶಂಕರಾಚಾರ್ಯರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಗಳಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಅವರ ಅನುಗ್ರಹದಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಆರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಆ ಕೆಲಸ ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಯಾವ ವಿಘ್ನಗಳೂ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಶ್ಲೋಕವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೆಲಸ ಆರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಆ ಕೆಲಸ ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಗಳಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ